IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

TIMOTHY ASHWORTH,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) CIVIL NO. 3:15-cv-182-NJR-DGW
STEVE MUMBOWER, et al.,)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

WILKERSON, Magistrate Judge:

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Recruitment of Counsel. (Doc. 2). The Motion is **GRANTED**.

Civil litigants do not have a constitutional or statutory right to counsel. *Pruitt v. Mote*, 503 F.3d 647, 649 (7th Cir. 2007); *Zarnes v. Rhodes*, 64 F.3d 285, 288 (7th Cir. 1995). Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), however, this Court has discretion to recruit counsel to represent indigents in appropriate cases. *Johnson v. Doughty*, 433 F.3d 1001, 1006 (7th Cir. 2006). In evaluating whether counsel should be appointed, this Court must examine (what are known as) the *Pruitt* factors and apply them to the specific circumstances of this case. *Santiago v. Walls*, 599 F.3d 749, 760 (7th Cir. 2010). The Court must ask: "'(1) has the indigent plaintiff made a reasonable attempt to obtain counsel or been effectively precluded from doing so; and if so, (2) given the difficulty of the case, does the plaintiff appear competent to litigate it himself?"" *Id.* at 761, *quoting Pruitt*, 503 F.3d at 654.

The circumstances presented in this case warrant recruitment of counsel. See Santiago,

599 F.3d at 765 ("The situation here is qualitatively different from typical prison litigation.").

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's motion (Doc. 2) and **APPOINTS** Attorney Kyle P. Seelbach of the firm Husch Blackwell to represent Plaintiff in this Court only. Attorney Seelbach is encouraged to share his responsibilities with an associate who is also admitted to practice in this district court. Attorney Seelbach shall enter his appearance on or before **July 24**, **2015**.

Plaintiff is cautioned to consult with his counsel in this matter and to understand that it is Attorney Seelbach who is the legal professional in this relationship. Without commenting on the validity of the matter in litigation, counsel is reminded and plaintiff is advised that counsel, even though appointed by the Court, has an obligation under the rules to refrain from filing frivolous pleadings. As a consequence, counsel will likely, from time to time, advise Plaintiff against taking a certain course of action. While Plaintiff may not totally agree with counsel's advice, he should realize that, in the long run, such advice will be in his best interest because it is in compliance with the law. Also, counsel may advise Plaintiff to pursue additional claims or to abandon certain existing claims.

Counsel, of course, maintains an ethical obligation to fully and vigorously represent his client, but only to the extent that it does not impede his ethical obligation to follow the rules of the Court and the law. If Plaintiff wants to be represented by counsel, he will have to cooperate fully with counsel. The Court will not accept any filings from Plaintiff individually while he is represented by counsel, except a pleading that asks that he be allowed to have counsel withdraw from representation. If counsel is allowed to withdraw at the request of Plaintiff, it is unlikely the

¹ The Local Rules of the Southern District of Illinois direct that every member of the bar of this Court "shall be available for appointment by the Court to represent or assist in the representation of those who cannot afford to hire an attorney." SDIL-LR 83.1(i).

Case 3:15-cv-00182-JPG-DGW Document 27 Filed 07/13/15 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #98

Court will appoint other counsel to represent him.

Because Plaintiff is proceeding in forma pauperis, if there is a monetary recovery in this

case (either by verdict or settlement), any unpaid out-of-pocket costs must be paid from the

proceeds. See SDIL-LR 3.1(c)(1). If there is no recovery in the case (or the costs exceed any

recovery), the Court has the discretion reimburse expenses. The funds available for this purpose

are limited, and counsel should use the utmost care when incurring out-of-pocket costs. In no

event will funds be reimbursed if the expenditure is found to be without a proper basis. The Court

has no authority to pay attorney's fees in this case. Counsel is encouraged to enter into a fee

contract with Plaintiff to address both the payment of attorney's fees and costs should

Plaintiff prevail.

Finally, counsel is informed that Plaintiff is currently incarcerated by Illinois Department

of Corrections at the Big Muddy River Correctional Center. Information about the facility is

available at www.idoc.state.il.us.

Defendants in this matter have not yet entered an appearance. However, a telephonic

status conference is hereby SET for August 20, 2015 at 2:30 p.m. The Court will initiate the

conference call.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to send a copy of this Order and the standard letter

concerning appointment of counsel to Attorney Seelbach immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 13, 2015

DONALD G. WILKERSON United States Magistrate Judge

Wonald Wilkerson

Page 3 of 3